

ARBITRATION AWARDS - printed Jun 24, 1998

AISI	Case#	Arb.	DEC	Description
220.260	13-F-15	202	D	Co.testing to determine relative ability upheld.
170.200	14-F-11	203	G	Difference between notification and written notice. O.Skanfor. Granted-9-24-57
30.160	15-F-9	204	D	J.Rogue had sufficient training and was responsible. (Incompetence)
200.300	2-F-9	205	D	Company only obligated for 4 hours not 8 hours pay. H. Verway
30.140	20-F-4	206	G	Company did not request Paul Copak to go to clinic.(Insubordination) Granted
30.220	8-F-16	207	PG	Bad attitude not just cause; Past record unrelated. M. Szczepkowski
210.120	13-F-16	208	G	Employee invoked safety clause successfully.
130.190	2-F-7	209	D	No revision of job description upheld.
210.120	8-F-14	210	G	Employee M. Szczepkowski invoked safty clause successfully. Granted 9-30-57
130.230	7-F-10	211	PG	Coding upgraded; job class increased
130.230	7-F-11	212	PG	Coding partially reclassified
130.230	7-F-9	213	PG	Coding partially reclassified
220.10.1	8-E-36	214	D	Mgnt. has right to judge ability; testing
220.10.4	5-E-35	215	D	Company found to have just cause in demotion (Elmer Ross)
220.600	15-F-2	216	G	R. Scofield - Company to post notice of job opening. Granted 12-20-57
220.500	15-F-3	217	G	Company to adjust sequential listing as per CBA.
220.500	15-F-07	218	G	Award #167 controlling - Seniority lists
220.500	15-F-6	219	G	Award No.167 controlling - Seniority lists
130.230	7-E-16	220	D	No change found for coding changes
80.460	9-F-7	221	REM	Grievance remanded to parties; Co to discuss incentive inequity.
190.410	9-F-8	222	D	Not practial for company to install plan currently.